UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,541	01/27/2005	Bernd Wenderoth	BHD-4372-8	8496
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/01/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	lo. Applicant(s)	
	10/522,541	WENDEROTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden, Jr.	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 3 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15,18-23 and 29 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15,18-23 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separatement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific action are considered.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in App prity documents have been re uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) ⁄Iail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/11</u> .		rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/522,541 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

## Response to Amendment

1. Claims 15 and 18-23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Meszaros et al (6,080,330).

2. Meszaros et al disclose an aqueous glycol coolant antifreeze composition comprising a mixture of monocarboxylic and dicarboxylic acids wherein said acids include sebacic acid and 2-ethylhexanoic acid (abstract; col. 3, lines 1-24). Additional corrosion inhibitors are used such as quaternized imidazole and organic acids (col. 3, lines 30-50) and further said glycols includes alkylene glycols (col. 4, lines 15-45).

The above disclosure is insufficient to anticipate the above listed claims, however, it would have nonetheless been obvious to the skilled artisan to produce the claimed composition, as the reference teaches each of the claimed ingredients within the claimed proportions for the same utility.

[W]hen a patent 'simply arranges old elements with each performing the same function it had been known to perform' and yields no more than one would expect from such an arrangement, the combination is obvious. [KSR Int'l Co. v.Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. at 418 (quoting Sakraida v. Ag Pro, Inc., 425 U.S. 273,282 (1976).]

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 1-21-2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant argues that the amount of mono and di carboxylic acid employed in Meszaros et al is less than the minimum claimed.

5. The examiner contends that the amounts of mono and di carboxylic acids do not overlap but are close enough where one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to optimize the proportions to produce similar results in the absence of unexpected results.

Similarly, a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties. Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Applicant argues that the claims as amended include up to 1% by weight of a silicate and therefore the prior art is precluded from encompassing the claims since it states compositions being free of silicates.

The examiner contends that applicant has amended the claims to include a lower limitation of up to which is interpreted as including "0" or an optional ingredient. Up to 1% includes data points from 0 to 1%. Accordingly, it is the examiner's position that the claims are still anticipated by Meszaros et al.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,541 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Necholus Ogden, Jr./ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

no